

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,144	10/21/2003	Michael J. Connor	4191-00311	6041	
26753	26753 7590 08/26/2005			EXAMINER	
	SCEALES, STARKE & TISCONSIN AVENUE, S	LAWRENCE JR, FRANK M			
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1724		
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/26/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/		Am
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/690,144	CONNOR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Frank M. Lawrence	1724
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT! - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, non. a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6 statute, cause the application to beco	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al		matters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		1.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 30-32</u> is/are rejected.	•	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-29</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requiremen	t.
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b)□ objecte	d to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in at	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		• •
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U,S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		peen received in this National Stage
application from the International B	` ','	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	not received.
Attachment(s)		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) ∐ Interv B) Pape	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date
3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) Notic	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Off	ice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0705

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1 of claim 21, "media" should be deleted to be consistent with the other claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5 and 7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 8 of copending Application No. 10/935,300. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all of the instant limitations are encompassed and envisioned in the co-pending claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,144

Art Unit: 1724

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-12 and 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clements et al. (6,440,188).
- Clements et al. '188 teach an air filter comprising a filter element (16) mounted to a base (26), the filter element comprising first closed end cap (54), a second end cap (142) having an axial flow opening, a cylindrical filter media (56) extending between the end caps, a retainer system on the second end cap including a flange (150) extending away from the media, a resilient gasket (172, 174) for sealing between the flange and base, and connecting members (146, 148) extending from the flange including hooks (166, 204) for snap-fitting the filter to catches (134) on the base after both axial and rotational motion are applied to the filter, which prevents further axial motion of the filter with respect to the base (see figures 1, 2, 15-19, col. 3, lines 34-45, col. 7, lines 20-34). The second end cap mounts the filter to the base independently of the first end cap and any filter housing.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Read et al. (6,093,231).
- 8. Read et al. '231 teach an air filter comprising a filter element (16) mounted to a base (20), the filter element comprising a first closed end cap (28), a second end cap (34) having an axial flow opening, a conical filter media (25) extending between the end caps, a retainer system

Art Unit: 1724

on the second end cap including a flange (35) extending outwardly away from the media, and resilient gaskets (40, 41) for sealing between the flange and base (see figures 2, 3). The second end cap mounts the filter to the base independently of the first end cap.

- 9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeSousa et al. (5,865,863).
- DeSousa et al. '863 teach an air filter comprising a filter element (52) mounted to a base (14), the filter element comprising a first closed end cap (54), a second end cap (24) having an axial flow opening, and a cylindrical filter media extending between the end caps, wherein the filter element is mounted to the base by friction retention with the second end cap, independently of the first end cap and any housing (see figures 1, 2, 5, 7, col. 3, lines 4-26).

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 13-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The additional references listed on the attached PTO-892 form disclose filter retaining devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Lawrence whose telephone number is 571-272-1161. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,144

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Frank M. Lawrence **Primary Examiner**

Page 5

Art Unit 1724

fl

Frank Faurence 7-26-05